# Methacton School



FACILITIES STATUS REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS JUNE 20, 2023



### For Approval June 27, 2023

- 1. Accept the facility inventory of assets with conditions as prepared by Bureau Veritas.
- 2. Authorize the administration to proceed with the development of a Facilities Master plan.
- 3. Authorize the Superintendent to proceed with organizing a Comprehensive Facilities/Campus Planning process for Methacton High School campus.



### Facilities

Total 10 Buildings – (7 School Buildings):

- High School 1961
- Arcola 1973
- Skyview 2009
- Arrowhead 2022
- Eagleville 2002
- Woodland 1969
- Worcester 1999
- Facilities Building 1998
- Administration Building 1965
- Transportation Building 1972

Last condition assessment of all district facilities was conducted by AEM in 2015/2016.

Resulting assessment was used to develop a Facilities Workbook and Master Plan that was prepared by district personnel, owners representative, AEM, and other third parties.

### 2016 Facilities Master Plan

	ļ									TONIO		L DIATK	ICT CA	PITAL	FROJECI	S MASTE	IN PLA	-										193	ideri
YEAR CAPITAL PLAN	2018		2019			2020			2021			20	22		202	3		2024			2025			202			2027		TOTA
ROWNEAD	Al 7 CapatPerint 1 Al 11 Al Taplacement 1 Protect Al 3 CasingTree 1 Al 3 Payloc Labort	2,000 2,000 4,700				Kasilar Koorjigah Natis Dan Kasin Kasilar Nati Kasilar Nati			3 Reflection 3 Reflecte 3 Francisco		11,200 201,000 01,000				i Baia b i Igyaki i Ishina	1 100 1 1 100 1 100		<ol> <li>Alitingstatus</li> <li>Alitingstatus</li> <li>Alitingstatus</li> <li>Alitingstatus</li> <li>Alitingstatus</li> <li>Bacharchystatus</li> <li>Bacharchystatus</li> </ol>	1 80,75 1 3,00,0 1 20,0 1 20,0 1 20,0 1 30,0 1 30,0		<ul> <li>Biller Cont</li> <li>Biller Cont</li> <li>Biggeb Chill</li> <li>Billight</li> <li>Billight</li> <li>Reging System</li> </ul>			120 Anti-autor 128 Angelingen		10,00 AT	21 Belding telder	1 200	s 54,
DUBON						Ter Salar	1 13	-															-						
REVILLE	FY 2 September 2 FY 2 SecTopole 2 FY 5 Window September 2 FY 6-16 Septembergal 2 Em	14,000 F 12,700 F 12,000 F	V 6117 Darritettare V 20 Chartogen V 20 Cartogen V 20 Cartogen	2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0 2,0																	i Najina Kad 20 MinC Qagna 20 MP Qagnab			C bifwelli G Calley Te G Date by S bilgt	-	11,00 FV 11,00 FV 11,00 11,00 11,00	1 Benny Spak 21-31 Seffers 18	1 6,0	<b>5</b> 5,
COLAND	10 3 Angel Warker 1 10 3 Angel Tanto 1	23	0 2 farflige 1 Nationar 1 Katenbergal Ta 0 0 Refereballet 0 0 Adhan								-	1 Martin D	- '	2,10			**	1 Bernyligde 11 Pedgiligde 12 Deligter 21 Deligt	1 304 1 304 1 604	= 1	ut befanzijk a beligideg	: *	-	i laim			G Status SC Safras LB 3 Saping Sector 3 Saping Sector 3 Satisfy	1 0,0 1 20,0 1 20,0 1 20,0 1 8,5 1 9,6	
RCESTER	MI 3 And Tapata 5 MI G Replace Serviced 5 Elem	8536 100	C 3 Marchard Bark	1 0,00				-	617 Des Nation 6 Region Cor 2 Chan April		10718 1298 36718			:	2. HACLey 27 23 Million	ан с 20) с 10)	-	11 Calua Syrak 27 Sectors	1.6		i Kenary Naji I Kajina Kad Ji Kaja Kajad	1 0	= -	8. https://			1 Control Johnson Bin Cl. Millions Life C. 2020.21 MP Dynamics	1 0,0 1 0,0 1 41,0	s 5
WEW-ARCOLA	AA 28 24 Andrew of Rep 1 Signals	(100	0. 23 Juli Fine Types 0. 24 Abdament 0. 28 PFC Barn Sath 0. 28 Add Two	2222					4 Replace for 20.0. ATT Specific 10.00			2 Enterni 2 England 3 Failbar 207 Say	lagate Landan Main	200,000 EU (200,000 EU 200,000 EU 200,000	2 Konseyli 6 Saytan Sa Mil' Sayt			i Berryland	1 3,3		Class Region	1 3		C Looken G Linken G Linken Linken			3 In term Internet 6 In Alder 1 Rater 6 Sater Tab Rater	1 0,0	\$ 23,
THACTON HIGH SCHOOL	<ol> <li>Presidentingen 1</li> </ol>	30,00 0 (20,00 0 (20,00 0 (20,00 0	UT Sprain 1 Spinsfor 2 Sinory Spin 2 Sinory Spin 3 Sin Sur Spin 6 Can Spin 6 Sathers	1 (20) 1 (20) 1 (20) 1 (20) 1 (20) 1 (20) 1 (20) 1 (20)	:	HT lippels Knowy lippin Ispine for	1 010	-	3 Ped		1	i Reiner i Schlau i Landy i 2 Schlau		00,00 0 00,00 0 0,00 0 0,00 0	7 Int Star N 12 Sym The 26 Explore th 18 Percent Sectors	ninan ( 199) 1 99) 1 99) 1 99 1 99 1 99		G bifmail	1 0404				2244	1 Benney C Roor Repú 1 Balan Rep 2 Bage Pine 1 Press Re 2 Bala Tarek				1 2) 4 1 2) 4 1 2) 4 1 2)	5 31
INA EDUCATIONAL CENTER					R 1	Dar Keiten Cabilen Karloffrein Cher April Rägting			3 Angles Ma 6 Angles Ma 8 Angles Ma 9 Angles Ma	=	11,00 A. 14,00 A. 14,00 A. 14,00 A.	3 Radia 3 Feature 3 Radia 3 Addia		10,00 N 10,00 N 10,00 N	2 Report 2 MACING 2 MACING 3 Report	11an 1 30 da 1 30 da 1 80 la 1 80		1 Geneti Rom 6 Tolai Patika 8 Gold Kam 3 Rank / Stan 3 System System 3 Million 3 Million	1 200 1 200 1 8,0 1 8,0 1 9,0 1 9,0		Ballanday Baylow Cay Baylow W7 Baylow W7 Casawa Ballanda Ballanda			i Name Carl	•	rtjali PA ritjali PA PA	2 September 2 1 Protect States 3 Second Willing	20,0	<b>s</b> :
OLITTES BUILDING						Colo Kenn Colo Kenn Fodog Rom Kaler Rajton Tasten R.Lightog Rolligto			1 State Cal 2 Read Sec	1	1,121 B 1,211 B 2,1,411 B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	<ol> <li>Report</li> <li>Report</li></ol>		2,10 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().				C Claipen	1 4,3				"	i Nglar fa		1(36)			5
INSPORTATION BUILDING						Colo Rem Reference i far org E. Lighting			3 kenter fan	. :		3 Seator 3 Report 4 Report 5 Southant 6 Astinant	laidhe I Baine I Ionn I	6,10 6,10 6,10 6,10	2 faige	1 89	•				Berderschle Geschlesse Kast Regione Kast Regione Familie Regione Familie Familie Kitte Regione Kitte Regione Kitte		36 18	1 Inder Fai 7 Replace Fai 8 Replace Fai 9 Replace Fai 9 Table Caste		1000 19 1000 1000 1000	6 Senters Perlog	1 24,0	5
CATIONAL ANNUAL EXPEDITURE tout Farlina, Facilities & Transportation drige.)	\$8,543,100		\$1,572,400	,		\$8,488,25			\$1,6	2,505	•	91	527,100	•	\$1,7	90,000 *		9.24)	. 00		\$8,46	1,000 *		\$3,4	28,150	•	\$7,542,0	s •	\$ 83
												ASS	UMPTIONS A	ND GOALS															
OCUMENTATION - Master Plan to DEI February 2011 Energy Audit Pennylvaria Economy League (P PEL Pasponse to April 2015 Quee PEL / Thompson Associates Archi AEM April 2015 Feasibility Study o	EL) January 2015 Demograph fon List and May 2015 MSD C lacts and Planners May 2015 I and follow-up Workbook	ics and Com committee Ma Natrici-adde 1	munity Growth Analysis willing											6.N	k will continue to IO CURRECULUI AROWHEAD - 1	support this allo I CHANGES CO No elementary :	s. XITEMPLA Ishod, et a	ATED - Athough	documed, the	te current l	Aester Plan d	out not contra	mpiate eny	change to cu	ritulum (e.g	no chang	estehl manner. Surg e to current half-day culd be made in the r	kindergenten	L
E Millone & Machrone Tochiser 2016 School Environment Projections g Millone & Machrone Tochiser Advision Consolidation Boundary Adjustment h. Free-b-back meetings and Billone-up consequences with principals at each of the Schools (April – June 2017) L. Orgoling Discussions with the Administration						Ex community in the most economical and long-term-binding memory. a. Based on the above, Flowin recommends investigating and further discussing the identified Arrowheed work shows. b. While not included in this that's dust to be Date(s), Flowing is mariping included options that all Mether Plane, b) Replaced Arrowheed event is to current configuration (the instant submitted Mether Plane), b) Replaced Arrowheed control start (in the Arrowheed event is to current configuration (the instant submitted Mether Plane), b) Replaced Arrowheed control start (in the Arrowheed event is to current configuration (the instant submitted Mether Plane), b) Replaced Arrowheed control start (in the Arrowheed event is the Arrowheed event and the Arrowheed e																							
	ty Assessment scope and ess	gred values	were assumed and utility	nd. Howeve	r, since th	AEM musky did	not includ	ie soft cost	ts, the Mester I	ten velues i	shove here				ove the Distict-	ide fourth grede	to Skyrler NSDERAT	e / Arodia and m TION - Enrolme	ove the Distri	ci-wide eig be a moré	th grade to t	d on contem	d.	conomic, der	eloment er	nd demogr	aphic etc. conditions.	However, be	o primary
1 VALUES ASSURED - AEM Feasibility Assessment scope and assigned values wave assumed and utilized. However, since the AEM study 6d not include soft costs, the Manter Plan values above have an added representative value for such ast costs is an effort to options and reflect the total project cost (5d, for research is emploity and circly, the Manter Plan values above includes and iso 2% for any such ises than \$100,000, 10% for any work between \$100,000 and \$500,000 and 15% for any work over \$500,000, Flowlab allows that scope can necessarily be organized, planned, designed and performed is a more economical manner beaud on actual conditions and analysis as the have are further existing and schemes. While the end scheme is the time efficient or out match these potential analysis.								suggest a need for further attention and consideration: First, current demographics suggest a current trend of slightly declining enrollment. Second, there is excess building capacity in the Skyview / Acoda Fi																					
a are further addressed. While the	I are surfaire accesses, these the son access mandal and terms in these stoendee, the values above to not need these potential samings. AFETY & SOCURTY we considered Persmouth in the evaluation.												<ul> <li>* RAISHA, FACILITIES &amp; TRANSPORTATION BUILDINGS EXCLUDED - It was decided that the Farlin Administration Building. Transportation Center and Facilities Building would be shown on the plan with but the values are NOT included in the column bala. While these facilities and their maintenance are obviously value and need to be considered, their needs (at least in Year #1) should be overshadowed by exponent.</li> </ul>																



### 2016 Facilities Master Plan (snapshot)



10 YEAR CAPITAL PLAN			2018					2019					2020	
ARROWHEAD	AR AR AR AR	7 11 25 28	Carpet (Portion) ACT Replacement (Portion) Cooling Tower PIg Fixture Code (Portion)	\$ \$ \$ \$	21,000 21,000 15,750 15,750						AR AR AR AR AR	1 2 5 19 29	Water/Steel Masonry Repairs Exterior Doors Repairs Paving Replacement Sewer/Storm Repairs	\$ 94,500 718,750 121,000 176,000 198,000
AUDUBON											AR	36	Ext Lights	\$ 220,000
EAGLEVILLE	EV EV EV EV	2 3 5 13 + 16	Repoint Roof Repairs Window Repairs Replace damaged tiles	\$ \$ \$ \$	84,000 57,750 575,000 23,100	EV EV EV EV	6 & 7 32 36 40	Door Hardware Clean Agent Ext Light Backbone	\$ \$ \$ \$	42,000 31,500 47,250 99,750				
WOODLAND	WD WD	3 21	Repair Windows Exterior Duct	\$	15,750 26,250	WD WD WD WD WD	2 4 11 12 19 23	Roof Repair Exterior Door Replace Damaged Tiles Replace Loft Ladder Ash Trees HVAC for IDF	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	165,000 31,500 5,250 21,000 21,000 18,900				
WORCESTER	WR WR	3 13	Roof Repairs Replace damaged tiles	\$ \$	47,250 5,250	WR	5	Window Seals	\$	42,000				
SKYVIEW-ARCOLA	SA	20 - 21	Auditorium and Stag Upgrade	ge \$	1,150,000	SA SA SA SA	22 24 29 30	2nd Floor Egress Abatement PVC Storm Boots Ash Trees	\$ \$ \$	110,000 115,500 5,250 5,250				



### 2016 Facilities Master Plan (snapshot)

FARINA EDUCATIONAL CENTER			FA	5	Door Hardware	\$	31,500	FA	3	Replace Roof	\$	141,625	FA	31	Electrical Main	\$	169,950	FA
FARINA EDUCATIONAL CENTER			FA	15	Code Items	\$	105,000	FA	4	Replace Windows	\$	56,650	FA	32	Panelboards	\$	51,371	FA
			FA	21	Water Infiltration	\$	54,863	FA	5	Replace Entrance	ŝ	40,058	FA	33	Replace Wiring	\$	54,364	FA
			FA	29	Clean Agent	\$	31,500	FA	17	Secure Entry	\$	22,890	FA	22	Ash Trees	\$	5,329	FA
			FA	34	E.Lighting	\$	13,650			·								
FACILITIES BUILDING			FB	3	Door Hardware	\$	3,150	FB	1	Exterior Cladding	\$	16,931	FB	4	Replace Flooring	\$	21,446	
TAGIEITIEG BOIEDING			FB	7	Code Items	\$	12,600	FB	2	Repair Roof	\$	2,258	FB	5	Reseal Concrete	\$	22,890	
			FB	9	Paving	\$	215,600	FB	11	North Drive	\$	212,658	FB	6	Replace ACT	\$	1,145	
			FB	12	Storm Water	\$	8,400						FB	10	Repair Sidewalks	\$	17,168	
			FB	17	Replace Transform	\$	34,335						FB	16	Tie in Controls	\$	13,734	
			FB	18	E. Lighting	\$	12,600						FB	19	Int Lights	\$	83,475	
			FB	20	Ext Lights	\$	13,167						FB	23	Ash Trees	\$	7,460	
TRANSPORTATION BUILDING			TB	11	Code Items	\$	105,000	TB	15	Storm Water	\$	36,750	TB	2	Exterior Cladding	\$	81,113	TB
			TB	13	Abatement Survey	\$	10,500	TB	22	Sanitary Sewer	\$	94,852	TB	3	Replace Roof	\$	97,335	
			TB	25	E. Lighting	\$	7,875						TB	4	Replace Windows	\$	5,408	
													TB	5	Overhead Doors	\$	64,890	
													TB	16	Ash Trees	\$	3,731	
EDUCATIONAL ANNUAL EXPEDITURE																		
(Without Farina, Facilities & Transportation	\$8,543,100	\$8,572,400			\$8,488,25	0	*			\$8,422,50	5	*			\$8,527,100	)	*	
Buildings.)	<i>v</i> ,	, <u>,</u>			,, <b>L</b> O					,,,					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
															ASSUMPTIC	NS A	ND GO	DALS



### 2016 Facilities Master Plan

District made \$58,633,710 in improvements to date

- New Arrowhead Elementary School
- Renovation of Eagleville Elementary School
- Supported closure of Audubon Elementary School
- Façade, fire alarm, canopy, and water infiltration at High School
- Arcola auditorium remediation (ceiling) and remodeling + HVAC
- Various building roof replacements
- High School egress and security project
- Security cameras in all district buildings
- Skyview building automation control system upgrade
- Transportation fuel tank repair



### Recent Events

2020 HS HVAC project:

• Original bids \$9.3M

2022 HS HVAC project costs escalation along with added scope:

- Estimate \$12.7M
- With added scope with increase estimate at \$17.2M
- With soft costs projected to be \$25M

Placed the HVAC and all other projects at HS on hold pending outcome of the facilities assessment and updated Master Facilities Plan.



### 2023 Facilities Assessment

Hired Bureau Veritas to conduct facilities condition assessments

- Began October 2022
- Final summary reports for each building May 2023
- Made final presentation to Property Committee 6/12/23
  - Identified that there are no life safety issues requiring immediate action
  - Identified that BV summary presentation lumped multiple fix/replacement projects for years 0-2 with other high priority projects this will be resolved in Master Plan
  - Identified the high school and the administration building on the high school campus as the having highest FCI in next 5 years.
  - Identified that based on FCI of high school, further comprehensive review of HS is necessary
- District is in process of acquiring training on use of software
- Assessment provides preventative maintenance routines that will be incorporated into our work scheduling system

#### **2023 FACILITIES CONDITION ASSESSMENT**



Facility	Replacement Value
Administration Building	\$3,971,200
Arcola Intermediate/Skyview Upper Elementary	\$129,827,625
Arrowhead Elementary	\$32,250,000
Eagleville Elementary School	\$25,174,500
Facilities Building	\$3,358,000
Methacton High School	\$119,913,560
Transportation Building	\$1,825,000
Woodland Elementary	\$31,500,000
Worcester Elementary	\$25,109,625

#### FACILITY CONDITION INDEX (FCI) RATING



The Current Year FCI is the ratio of Immediate Repair Costs to the building's Current Replacement Value.

FCI CONDITION RATING	DEFINITION	PERCENTAGE VALUE
Good	In new or well-maintained condition, with no visual evidence of wear, soiling or other deficiencies.	0% to 5%
Fair	Subjected to wear and soiling but is still in a serviceable and functioning condition.	> than 5% to 10%
Poor	Subjected to hard or long-term wear. Nearing the end of its useful or serviceable life.	> than 10% to 30%
Very Poor	Has reached the end of its useful or serviceable life. Renewal is now necessary.	> than 60%

#### **RESULTS: FCI SUMMARY**



				Yr	. 0	Yr	. 5	Yr.	10	Yr.	20
Campus Name	Cost/SF	Total SF	Replacement Value	Bidg. FCI	Bldg. Needs						
Administration Building	\$292	13,600	\$3,971,200	0.0%	\$0	30.2%	\$1,198,200	37.6%	\$1,492,900	94.6%	\$3,757,500
Arcola Intermediate/Skyview and Upper Elementary	\$375	346,207	\$129,827,625	0.0%	\$6,000	10.6%	\$13,825,500	19.9%	\$25,773,800	52.8%	\$68,543,300
Arrowhead Elementary	\$375	86,000	\$32,250,000	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	1.4%	\$448,600	23.3%	\$7,516,500
Eagleville Elementary School	\$375	67,132	\$25,174,500	0.0%	\$3,900	8.6%	\$2,162,400	18.6%	\$4,679,400	52.0%	\$13,100,100
Facilities Building	\$292	11,500	\$3,358,000	0.0%	\$0	5.4%	\$180,900	10.0%	\$335,700	35.2%	\$1,181,600
Methacton High School	\$380	315,564	\$119,913,560	14.2%	\$17,058,800	57.6%	\$69,025,800	74.2%	\$88,994,400	100.5%	\$120,485,800
Transportation Building	\$292	6,250	\$1,825,000	0.0%	\$0	18.2%	\$332,700	27.6%	\$504,500	39.9%	\$728,700
Woodland Elementary	\$375	84,000	\$31,500,000	0.4%	\$130,000	25.5%	\$8,030,400	34.4%	\$10,845,500	57.9%	\$18,227,800
Worcester Elementary	\$375	66,959	\$25,109,625	13.0%	\$3,271,700	23.5%	\$5,896,300	33.9%	\$8,521,600	62.6%	\$15,729,900

**Note:** FCI analysis excludes costs related to site work and development. Building Needs are different from Campus Needs

**FCI indicates deferred cost** –in a scenario if the necessary investment is not done in the facility



### 2023 Facilities Condition Assessments

- Provides us with an independent 3rd party inventory of assets with conditions
- •Tells us what items we need to consider fixing/replacing and in what general timeframe for inclusion in a Facilities Master Plan
- •Tells us there are no immediate life safety matters identified
- •Tells us to take into consideration the facility replacement value when determining investment into facilities for fixes/replacements
- Provides us software to organize fixes/replacements into projects for inclusion into a Facilities Master Plan

### Inventory of Assets with Conditions Summary Reports

FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT	
prepared for	VERITAS
Methacton School District 1001 Kriebel Mill Road Eagleville, Pennsylvania Mr. Timothy Bricker	



PREPARED BY Roman Verifae 10461 Mill Run Circle, Suite 1100 Owings Mills, Maryland 21117 800 733 0660 www.us.bureauveritas.com BV CONTACT:

#### Kaustubh Chabukswa Program Manager 800,733,0660 x7512 Kaustubb Chabuleswar@bureauveritas.com

00-001.354

BV PROJECT #: 58580 228000-001 35 DATE OF REPORT o Be Filled in By CD

> ON SITE DATE: October 6, 2022

Administration Building 1001 Kriebel Mill Road Eagleville, Pennsylvania 19403

**Bureau Veritas** 10451 Mil Run Circle, Sulle 1100 | Owings Mills, MD 21117 | www.us.bureauveritas.com | p 800.733.0660

#### 5. Purpose and Scope

#### Purpose

Bureau Veritas was retained by the client to render an opinion as to the Property's current general physical condition on the day of the site visit.

Based on the observations, interviews and document review outlined below, this report identifies significant deferred maintenance issues, existing deficiencies, and material code violations of record, which affect the Property's use. Opinions are rendered as to its structural integrity, building system condition and the Property's overall condition. The report also notes building systems or components that have realized or exceeded their typical expected useful lives.

The physical condition of building systems and related components are typically defined as being in one of five condition ratings. For the purposes of this report, the following definitions are used:

Excellent	New or very close to new; component or system typically has been installed within the pa year, sound and performing its function. Eventual repair or replacement will be required whe the component or system either reaches the end of its useful life or fails in service.						
Good	Satisfactory as-is. Component or system is sound and performing its function, typically with the first third of its lifecycle. However, it may show minor signs of normal wear and tear. Repr or replacement will be required when the component or system either reaches the end of useful life or fails in service.						
Fair	Showing signs of wear and use but still satisfactory as-is, typically near the median of its estimated useful life. Component or system is performing adequately at this time but may exhibit some signs of wear, deferred maintenance, or evidence of previous repairs. Repair or replacement will be required due to the component or system's condition and/or its estimated remaining useful life.						
Poor	Component or system is significantly aged, flawed, functioning intermittently or unreliab displays obvious signs of deferred maintenance; shows evidence of previous repair workmanship not in compliance with commonly accepted standards; has become obsolet or exhibits an inherent deficiency. The present condition could contribute to or cause til deterioration of contiguous elements or systems. Either full component replacement needed or repairs are required to restore to good condition, prevent premature failure, and/ prolong useful life.						
Failed	Component or system has ceased functioning or performing as intended. Replacement repair, or other significant corrective action is recommended or required.						
Not Applicable	Assigning a condition does not apply or make logical sense, most commonly due to the ite in question not being present.						

#### Priority Score: 88.9 Roofing in Failed condition. Plan Type: Roof Leak Repairs Performance/Integrity School Arcola Intermediate/Skyview and Upper Elementary Skyview Science Labs Cost Estimate: \$6,000 Uniformat Code: B3010 Recommendation: Repair in 2022 **\$\$**\$\$

The roof continues to leak in the Skyview science labs. This cost is associated with the localized repairs for the roof. - AssetCALC ID: 5997648

	Roofing in Poor condition.	Priority Score: 88.9
	Modified Bitumen School Arcola Intermediate/Skyview and Upper Elementary Roof	Plan Type: Performance/Integrity Cost Estimate: \$228,900
The state	Uniformat Code: B3010 Recommendation: <b>Replace in 2023</b>	\$\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$

The field of the roofs significant areas of topping degradation, alligator cracking, seam failure throughout the roof. The roof membranes require replacement. - AssetCALC ID: 5998111



#### 9 Summary Reports

- High School
- Arcola/Skyview
- Arrowhead
- Eagleville
- Woodland
- Worcester
- Facilities Building
- Administration Building
- Transportation Building

ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Executive Summary ...... Property Overview and Assessment Details Significant/Systemic Findings and Deficiencies Facility Condition Index (FCI) Immediate Needs. Key Findings. Plan Types. 2. Building and Site Information 4. ADA Accessibility . 12 5. Purpose and Scope ..... .13 Opinions of Probable Costs 6. Methodology..... 15 Definitions .15 7. Certification ..... .17 .. 18 8. Appendices .....

### 2023 Facilities Master Plan Development

Plan development must consider the following:

- Facilities Inventory of Assets with Conditions
- Annual budgetary constraints
- Organization of projects to leverage economies of scale
- Life Safety/Priority targets
- Ability to manage/oversee/complete projects

### Maintenance/Fix/Replacement Approach

#### 2 - Part Approach

- Maintenance of Assets (annual approach)
  - This is achieved through the annual facilities budget
  - Includes dedicated internal staff and 3rd party firms with maintenance contracts
  - Includes preventative maintenance PMs into our work order system
- Fix/Replacement of Assets (based on Master Facilities Plan)
  - Capital Projects Fund
    - Established fund to address small to medium size fix/replacement projects (generally not greater than \$2M).
      - Established annual budgetary transfer to capital projects fund in order to address small to medium projects over time.
        - Reduces the overall expense (interest and fees) associated with borrowing for small projects (Example \$1M Borrow over ten years leads to \$230k in interest costs alone).
  - Bond (borrowing)
    - Required for large projects (generally over \$2M)



### Next Steps

#### Recommendation:

- Inventory of Assets with Conditions
  - Accept the facility inventory of assets with conditions as prepared by Bureau Veritas.
- Facilities Master Plan Development
  - Authorize the administration to proceed with the development of Facilities Master plan as presented.
    - Facilities Director will organize Owners Rep and other third parties to develop a 10 year Facilities Master Plan.
      - Target completion of Facilities Master Plan (all buildings except those on HS campus) for September 2023 with HS campus inclusion targeted for February 2024.



### Additional Next Steps

Methacton High School, originally constructed in 1961, having a projected FCI of almost 60% within the next 5 years with nearly \$69,000,000 in projected renovations/repairs/replacements outlined in the Bureau Veritas 2023 facilities assessment report:

Recommendation:

• Approve the Superintendent to proceed with organizing a Comprehensive Facilities/Campus Planning process for Methacton High School campus.

## High School Campus - Comprehensive Facilities/Campus Plan



Process:

Engage a stakeholder group to be called the METHACTON HIGH SCHOOL CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE (MHSCPC). The MHSCPC will review facility reports, conduct site visits, solicit stakeholder and expert input, consider the educational vision and future delivery of programs, present and communicate feedback, and make recommendations to the Board of School Directors for consideration.

### High School Campus - Comprehensive Facilities/Campus Plan



MHSCPC-Committee of the whole(104+ participants):

- 20 Students
- 20 Parents
- 20 Teachers
- 30 Community Members
- 4 School Board Directors
- 2 School Administrators
- Superintendent
- Facilities Director
- Business Director
- High School Principal
- Curriculum Director
- Communications Coordinator
- Technology Director
- District Owners Representative
- Experts Deemed Necessary by MHSCPC
- Public Input Deemed Appropriate by the MHSCPC



### Structure/Activities

#### Structure

- Planning Committees
  - Coordinating Committee
  - Education Vision Committee
  - Facilities Condition/Experts Committee
  - Communications Committee
  - Financial Committee

#### Activities

- Public Feedback Forums
- Site Visits
- Research emerging educational program/service/career trends
- Inventory Assessment Review
- Review Budgetary Constraints
- Review Building and Site Conditions and Constraints
- Review Educator Input
- Solicit input from design experts
- Review Safety, Operational, Sustainability and Efficiencies
- Regularly Present Status Updates to Board
- Provide Recommendations for Board Consideration



### Timeline for MHSCPC

#### METHACTON HIGH SCHOOL CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE (MHSCPC)

• Build the committee through solicitation of volunteers this summer and begin work in September with a recommendation for the Board in May of 2024 and public review and feedback period in June.



### For Approval June 27, 2023

- 1. Accept the facility inventory of assets with conditions as prepared by Bureau Veritas.
- 2. Authorize the administration to proceed with the development of a Facilities Master plan.
- 3. Authorize the Superintendent to proceed with organizing a Comprehensive Facilities/Campus Planning process for Methacton High School campus.